

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
Clarksburg**

**KEITH ALLEN WOOD,**

Petitioner,

v.

**Civil Action No. 1:21-CV-103**  
Judge Klee

**MICHAEL J. OLEJASZ**, Judge of the  
Circuit Court of Brooke County, West  
Virginia,

Respondent.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

The above referenced case is before this Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(3) [Doc. 1] be dismissed without prejudice and petitioner's Motion for Stay of State Court Proceeding or, in the alternative, for Expedited Consideration of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. 2] be denied as moot.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. ***Thomas v. Arn***, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. ***United***

**States v. Schronce**, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A *de novo* review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report and recommendation [Doc. 3] is **AFFIRMED**, and petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(c)(3) [Doc. 1] is hereby **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. Moreover, petitioner's Motion for Stay of State Court Proceeding or, in the alternative, for Expedited Consideration of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [Doc. 2] is hereby **DENIED AS MOOT**. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the respondent and to **STRIKE** this case from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record.

**DATED:** September 28, 2021.



---

**THOMAS S. KLEEH**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**